





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/084,195  | 02/28/2002     | Douglas B. Dobbs     | 66044-1571-5            | 4935             |
| 25269 7:  | 590 12/23/2003 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC<br>FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST<br>1300 I STREET, NW |                |                      | HWU, DAVIS D            |                  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005  |                |                      | 3752                    | 9                |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003 | $\sim$           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/084,195 DOBBS ET AL. Office Action Summary **Examiner Art Unit** Davis Hwu 3752 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Peri df r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some \* c) $\square$ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 2 PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office Action Summary



Application/Control Number: 10/084,195

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "26" has been used to designate both an inner face and spin mechanics in lines 1-2 of page 6 and "28" has been used to designate both channels and tangentials in lines 7 and 19 of page 6. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel et al. in view of Montaner et al. '595.

The patent to Siegel et al. discloses a manually actuated sprayer comprising, a body member having a discharge passage 46 terminating in a discharge orifice 42, an orifice cup 43 mounted within the discharge passage, the orifice cup comprising a base wall with an integral skirt extending in an upstream direction relative to passage of the liquid product (see Figure 1), the discharge passage including a cylindrical bore of a predetermined wall diameter (see Figure 1), the base wall of the orifice cup containing the discharge orifice and the base wall having an outer diameter substantially the same



Application/Control Number: 10/084,195

Art Unit: 3752

as the predetermined wall diameter, and the outer wall of the skirt sealing engaging the wall of the cylindrical bore as recited. Siegel et al. do not disclose an outer wall of the skirt being frusto-conical and tapering outwardly as recited. Montaner et al. '595 teaches a manually actuated sprayer comprising an orifice cup having a base wall with an integral skirt, the skirt being frusto-conical and tapering outwardly in the upstream direction such that the maximum diameter of the outer wall exceeds the predetermined diameter (see Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Siegel et al. by providing a skirt being frusto-conical and tapering outwardly in the upstream direction as taught by Montaner et al. in order to help prevent the insert from sliding out of the orifice cup.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Montaner et al. '996 and Anderson are pertinent to Applicant's invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.



Application/Control Number: 10/084,195

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu